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Overview

Given the importance of the ACA (ObamaCare)\(^1\) and the current controversy over negotiations on health care reform, this report looks back on the passing of the ACA (ObamaCare) to see if the process that led to the ACA (ObamaCare) becoming law sheds light on the current health care reform negotiations.

This report sets out to research, through government documents and media reports, how the ACA (ObamaCare) evolved to offer an answer to the question:

\[
\text{Was the ACA (ObamaCare) negotiated by the Democrats in secret and did they keep Republicans out of that negotiation process on purpose?}
\]

The road to the ACA (ObamaCare) was not a short or easy one especially considering that for decades prior to ObamaCare others had tried to pass national health care reform legislation but failed.

President Bill Clinton passed the Health Security Act in 1993, and President George W. Bush passed the Medicare Modernization Act in 2003, but those attempts at health care reform were limited.

In the end, it took President Obama 427 days\(^2\) from the day he was inaugurated on Jan. 20, 2009, to March 23, 2010, to get the ACA (ObamaCare) passed.

To try and balance the ACA’s cost, the bill contained 19 different taxes.\(^3\)

The Senate passed H.R. 3590 the “Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act” on Dec. 24, 2009, 60 to 39 [59 Democrats and 1 Independent voted for the bill, 39 Republicans voted against the bill, with 1 Republican not voting].

\(^1\) ACA stands for the Affordable Care Act, known also as ObamaCare. The official name of the health care reform law passed during Obama’s administration is H.R. 3590 – Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.

\(^2\) “This is equal to 1 year, 2 months, and 3 days,” according to ConvertUnits.com.

\(^3\) See Appendix B, page 67
On March 21, 2010, the final House vote was 219 to 212 to approve H.R. 3590, “with every Republican voting no.” The final vote of the 435 representatives was 219 Democrats voting for the bill, and 34 Democrats and 178 Republicans voting against the bill. There were 4 vacancies at the time.

On March 23, 2010, Obama signed the 906-page ACA into law.

---

4 Bills that start with the prefix “H.R.” are House Bills, bills that started in the House of Representatives and the prefix “S.” is for Senate Bills - “A bill is a legislative proposal before Congress. Bills from each house are assigned a number in the order in which they are introduced, starting at the beginning of each Congress (first and second sessions). Public bills pertain to matters that affect the general public or classes of citizens, while private bills pertain to individual matters that affect individuals and organizations, such as claims against the Government.” “ABOUT CONGRESSIONAL BILLS,” gpo.gov, accessed 7/9/2010


6 GovTrack.us, accessed 7/9/2017
Three Republican Comments on the ACA (Obamacare) Process in 2009 and 2010

1. “This [health care reform] is a very important issue. We shouldn’t try to do it in the dark. And whatever final bill is produced should be available to the American public and to the Members of the Senate certainly for enough time to come to grips with it. There should be and must be a CBO score.”
   
   - Sen. Mitch McConnell, then-Republican Senate Minority Leader, C-SPAN, Oct. 2, 2009

2. “It's simply wrong for legislation that'll affect 100% of the American people to be negotiated behind closed doors...”
   
   - Rep. Mike Pence, then-Congress member (R-IN-6th District) and current Vice President, Twitter, Jan. 13, 2010

3. “Join our effort to stop closed door health care negotiations by signing the petition ...”
   
   - Sen. Richard Burr (R-NC), Twitter, Jan. 13, 2010
I. An Overview of Some Federal Health Care Reform Initiatives Prior to the Obama Administration to Jan. 20, 2009

Prior to the Affordable Care Act (Obamacare) becoming law on March 23, 2010, there had been other efforts at federal health care reform. Starting with President Bill Clinton’s administration on Oct. 27, 1993, here is a timeline of some of the more major efforts on health care reform leading up to President Obama’s inauguration on Jan. 20, 2009:

- **Oct. 27, 1993:** President Bill Clinton presents his 1,342-page “Health Security Act,” which took nine months to craft. Dubbed “HillaryCare” after the First Lady who was responsible for leading the effort. It failed.

- **Aug. 5, 1997:** The Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP) is created in “Title XXI – State Children’s Health Insurance Program” of the Clinton administration’s Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (H.R. 2015), which became Public Law No: 105-33.

- **Feb. 11, 2003:** Rep. John Conyers, Jr. (D-MI-13th District) introduces his “Expanded and Improved Medicare for All Act” for the first time, and continues to submit the previously failed bill as of Jan. 24, 2017.


---

7 Robert Pear, “CLINTON’S HEALTH PLAN: The Overview; CONGRESS IS GIVEN CLINTON PROPOSAL FOR HEALTH CARE,” NYTimes.com, Oct. 27, 1993
8 Susan Cornwell, “From 'Hillarycare' debacle in 1990s, Clinton emerged more cautious,” Reuters.com, June 6, 2016
9 GovTrack.us, accessed 6/22/2017
10 “CHILDREN’S HEALTH INSURANCE PROGRAM OVERVIEW,” National Conference of State Legislatures, 1/10/2017
11 Congress.gov, accessed 6/23/2017
the bill were 25 Republicans, 189 Democrats and 1 Independent. The Senate vote of Nov. 25, 2003, is 54 to 44, with 42 Republicans, 11 Democrats, and 1 Independent voting for, 9 Republican and 35 Democrats voting against, and 2 Democrats not voting.12

- **Feb. 15, 2006:** President Bush, in a letter included in the White House National Economic Council report titled “Reforming Health Care for the 21st Century,” writes:

  “America’s health care facilities and medical professionals are the best in the world. Keeping our Nation competitive requires affordable and available health care.”13

The report states some of Bush’s initiatives including Health Savings Accounts, speeding generic prescription drugs into the marketplace, expanding community health centers, and that in 2004 he “launched an initiative to make electronic health records available to most Americans within the next 10 years.” Another of the president’s proposals was for allowing “the purchase of health insurance across state lines.” None of those initiatives became law.

- **Jan 18, 2007:** Sen. Ron Wyden (D-OR) sponsors, and Sen. Chuck Grassley (R-IA) co-sponsors, “S. 334 (110th): Healthy Americans Act,” “A bill to provide affordable, guaranteed private health coverage that will make Americans healthier and can never be taken away,” which dies in Congress,14 and is reintroduced as “S.391 - Healthy Americans Act,” Feb. 5, 2009. As of July 9, 2017, this bill has not become a law.

- **Jan. 23, 2007:** During his State of the Union speech, President Bush proposes “two new initiatives to help more Americans afford their own insurance.”15 According to the White House website: “The President's Plan Includes Two Parts: Reforming The Tax

---

12 GovTrack.us, accessed 7/19/2017
13 GeorgeWBush-WhiteHouse.archives.gov, accessed 7/24/2017
14 GovTrack.us, accessed 6/22/2017
Code With A Standard Deduction For Health Insurance So All Americans Get The Same Tax Breaks For Health Insurance And Helping States Make Affordable Private Health Insurance Available To Their Citizens.”16 Neither of these initiatives became law.

- **Jan. 25, 2007:** President Bush participates in a roundtable on health care initiatives with Saint Luke’s Health System in Missouri while seated in front of a background stating “Affordable Health Care.”17

- **Feb. 8, 2007:** In a Ways and Means Committee “Hearing on the President’s Fiscal Year 2008 Budget for the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services,” bipartisan members were involved with talks about Bush’s health care initiatives, among other items.

- **Feb. 13, 2007:** “Finance Committee Chairman Max Baucus (D-MT) outlines the principles for health care reform before the National Health Policy Conference.”18

- **May 29, 2007:** Candidate-for-President Barack Obama proposes health care reform in a campaign speech:

  “The time has come for universal, affordable health care in America.”19

- **May 6, 2008:** “First of 11 hearings on [Senate] Finance Committee’s Series on Health Care Reform in 2008”20

1. The first is Hearing: Seizing the New Opportunity for Health Reform;

---


18 “Health Care Reform from Conception to Final Passage: Timeline of the Finance Committee’s Work to Reform America’s Health Care System,” Finance.Senate.gov, accessed 7/24/2017


20 U.S. Senate Committee on Finance website, Accessed 6/21/2017
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2. June 3: Rising Costs, Low Quality in Health Care: The Necessity for Reform;


4. June 17: Crisis in the Future: Long Run Deficits and Debt;

5. July 17: The Right Care at the Right Time: Leveraging Innovation to Improve Health Care Quality for All;


7. Sept. 9: Improving Health Care Quality: An Integral Step toward Health Reform;

8. Sept. 16: Aligning Incentives: The Case for Delivery System Reform;


11. and Nov. 19: Health Care Reform: An Economic Perspective

- **June 16, 2008:** “Prepare for Launch: Health Reform Summit 2008” is led by Senate Finance Committee Chairman Max Baucus (D-MT) and Ranking Member Chuck Grassley (R-IA).21

- **Jan. 6, 2009:** Rep. Jeff Fortenberry (R-NE-1st District) introduces “H.R. 109 – America’s Affordable Health Care Act of 2009,” “To provide for the offering of Health Benefit Plans to individuals, to increase funding for State high risk health insurance pools, and to promote best practice protocols for State high risk pools.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

---

21 U.S. Senate Committee on Finance website, Accessed 6/17/2017
II. The ACA’s [Affordable Care Act / Obamacare] Negotiation Process and Timeline

On Jan. 20, 2009, Barack Obama took the Oath of Office as the 44th President of the United States.

The 427 days it took President Obama to pass the ACA, also known as Obamacare, started with President Obama’s inaugural address on Jan. 20, 2009, and ended when he signed the ACA into law on March 23, 2010.

- **Jan. 20, 2009:** President Barack Obama, in his inaugural address, says, among other issues:

  “our health care is too costly ... They will not be met easily or in a short span of time. But know this America: They will be met. ... We will restore science to its rightful place and wield technology's wonders to raise health care's quality...”

- **Jan. 26, 2009:** Rep. Conyers, John, Jr. (D-MI-14th District) introduces “H.R.676 - Expanded and Improved Medicare for All Act,” “To provide for comprehensive health insurance coverage for all United States residents, improved health care delivery, and for other purposes.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

- **Feb. 4, 2009:** Rep. Kay Granger (R-TX-12th District) introduces “H.R. 879 – Affordable Health Care Expansion Act of 2009,” “To amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to allow individuals a refundable credit against income tax for the purchase of private health insurance.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.
• **Feb. 5, 2009:** On Jan 18, 2007, Sen. Ron Wyden (D-OR) sponsors, and Sen. Chuck Grassley (R-IA) co-sponsors, “S. 334 – Healthy Americans Act,” “A bill to provide affordable, guaranteed private health coverage that will make Americans healthier and can never be taken away,” but it doesn’t go anywhere\(^{23}\) and is reintroduced as the 168-page “**S. 391 - Healthy Americans Act,**” on Feb. 5, 2009, a bipartisan effort that is sent to the Senate Committee on Finance but there were no roll call votes on it as of July 12, 2017.

• **Feb. 10, 2009:** Rep. Marcy Kaptur (R-OH-9th District) introduces “**H.R. 956 – Health Coverage, Affordability, Responsibility, and Equity Act of 2009,**” “To expand the number of individuals and families with health insurance coverage, and for other purposes.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

• **Feb. 13, 2009:** Sen. Byron Dorgan (D-ND) introduces “**S. 442 – Health Insurance Coverage Protection Act,**” and Rep. Anna Eshoo (D-CA-14th District) introduces “**H.R. 1085 – Health Insurance Coverage Protection Act,**” both are “To impose a limitation on lifetime aggregate limits imposed by health plans.” “There have been no roll call votes” related to either of these bills, according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

• **Feb. 13, 2009:** Rep. Phil Gingrey (R-GA-11th District) introduces “**H.R. 1086 – Help Efficient, Accessible, Low-cost, Timely Healthcare (HEALTH) Act of 2009,**” “To improve patient access to health care services and provide improved medical care by reducing the excessive burden the liability system places on the health care delivery system.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

\(^{23}\) GovTrack.us, accessed 6/22/2017
Feb. 24, 2009: In his Address Before a Joint Session of Congress, President Obama speaks about the need for health care reform:

“Once again, it will be hard. But I also know that nearly a century after Teddy Roosevelt first called for reform, the cost of our health care has weighed down our economy and our conscience long enough. So let there be no doubt: Health care reform cannot wait, it must not wait, and it will not wait another year.”24

Feb. 25, 2009: The bipartisan Senate Committee on Finance meets with the CBO for a hearing on “Scoring Health Reform: CBO Budget Options.”

Feb. 25, 2009: Rep. Jim McDermott (D-WA-7th District) introduces “H.R. 1200 – American Health Security Act of 2009,” “To provide for health care for every American and to control the cost and enhance the quality of the health care system.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

March 5, 2009: Obama holds a White House Health Care Summit Opening, the opening of which is covered on C-SPAN. On the same day, he has a “White House Forum on Health Reform Attendees and Breakout Session Participants.” Among the participants are Senators, both Republican and Democrat, and “Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA), Billy Tauzin, President and CEO.”25

March 5, 2009: Rep. Anna Eshoo (D-CA-14th District) introduces “H.R. 1321 – Healthy Americans Act,” “To provide affordable, guaranteed private health coverage

25 “White House Forum on Health Reform Attendees and Breakout Session Participants,” ObamaWhiteHouse.archives.gov, March 5, 2009
that will make Americans healthier and can never be taken away.” It was not enacted as of July 16, 2017, according to GovTrack.us.

- **March 12, 2009:** Senate Finance Committee holds a bipartisan hearing, “Workforce Issues In Health Care Reform: Assessing The Present And Preparing For The Future.”

- **March 12, 2009:** Rep. Ron Paul (R-TX-14th District) introduces “H.R. 1495 – Comprehensive Health Care Reform Act of 2009,” “To amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to make health care coverage more accessible and affordable.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 16, 2017.

- **March 17, 2009:** Sen. John D. Rockefeller, IV (D-WV) introduces “S. 623 – Pre-existing Condition Patient Protection Act of 2009,” “A bill to amend title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, title XXVII of the Public Service Act, and the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to prohibit preexisting condition exclusions in group health plans and in health insurance coverage in the group and individual markets.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

- **March 25, 2009:** Senate Committee on Finance Subcommittee on Health Care holds a bipartisan hearing, “The Role of Long-Term Care in Health Reform.”

- **March 25, 2009:** Sen. Bernie Sanders (I-VT) introduces “703 – American Health Security Act of 2009,” “A bill to provide for health care for every American and to control the cost and enhance the quality of the health care system.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.
• **March 26, 2009:** Rep. Tim Murphy (R-PA-18th District) introduces “H.R. 1745 – Family Health Care Accessibility Act of 2010,” “To amend the Public Health Service Act to provide liability protections for volunteer practitioners at health centers under section 330 of such Act.” 26

This was voted on in the House Sept. 23, 2010. It was passed 417 to 1, with 14 Not Voting. Voting for the bill were 247 Democrats and 170 Republicans, 1 Republican against the bill, and 7 Democrats and 7 Republicans Not Voting. 27 There are no other actions after it was “Received in the Senate and Read twice and referred to the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions,” according to Congress.gov as of July 9, 2017.

• **April 21, 2009:** Senate Committee on Finance holds a bipartisan hearing, “Roundtable to Discuss Reforming America’s Health Care Delivery System.” 28

• **May 4, 2009:** Sen. John D. Rockefeller (D-WV) introduces “S. 966 – National Health Care Quality Act,” “A bill to improve the Federal infrastructure for health care quality improvement in the United States.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

• **May 5, 2009:** Rep. Diana DeGette (D-CO-1st District) introduces “H.R. 2252 – National Health Care Quality Act,” “To improve the Federal infrastructure for health care quality improvement in the United States.” It was referred to several Committees, but was not passed, according to Congress.gov, as of July 9, 2017.

• **May 5, 2009:** Senate Committee on Finance holds a bipartisan hearing, “Roundtable Discussion on Expanding Health Care Coverage.”

26 Congress.gov, accessed 7/19/2017
27 Congress.gov, accessed 7/19/2017
28 “Roundtable to Discuss Reforming America’s Health Care Delivery System,” Finance.senate.gov, April 21, 2009
• **May 11, 2009:** President Obama and administration officials meet with “health care reform stakeholders” at the White House “concerning reducing the growth rate of health care costs.” Among the participants are insurers, hospitals, physicians, medical device companies and “Billy Tauzin—President & CEO, PhRMA.”

• **May 12, 2009:** Senate Committee on Finance holds a bipartisan hearing, “Roundtable Discussion on Financing Comprehensive Health Care Reform.”

• **May 21, 2009:** Sen. Rockefeller, John D., IV (D-WV) introduces “S. 1149 – Annual and Lifetime Health Care Limit Elimination Act of 2009,” “A bill to eliminate annual and lifetime aggregate limits imposed by health plans.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

• **June 2, 2009:** Rep. Christopher Murphy (D-CT-5th District) introduces “H.R. 2668 – Choice in Health Options Insures Care for Everyone (CHOICE) Act of 2009,” “To provide for the offering of an American Trust Health Plan to provide choice in health insurance options so as to ensure quality, affordable health coverage for all Americans.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

• **June 9, 2009:** “S.1679 – Affordable Health Choices Act” is introduced to the Senate by Sen. Tom Harkin (D-IA) on June 9, 2009, and passed by the Senate HELP [Health, Education, Labor and Pension] Committee on July 15, 2009, 13 to 10. “Markup occurred between June 17 and July 14, 2009, during which approximately five hundred amendments were made.” It was then reported on Sept. 17, 2009. Sen. Ted Kennedy was also part of this effort. He passes away Aug. 25, 2009.

---

32 “Kennedy, HELP Committee Democrats Announce the ‘Affordable Health Choices Act’ Bipartisan Talks Continue on Outstanding Key Issues,” Senate HELP Committee, June 9, 2009
• **June 11, 2009:** During a Town Hall on Health Care in Green Bay, Wisconsin, President Obama said:

> “In all these reforms, our goal is simple: the highest-quality health care at the lowest-possible cost. Let me repeat what I said before: We want to fix what’s broken, build on what works. As Congress moves forward on health care legislation in the coming weeks there are going to be different ideas and disagreements about how to achieve this goal. And I welcome all ideas; we've got to have a good debate. What I will not welcome, what I will not accept is endless delay or a denial that reform needs to happen.”


• **June 15, 2009:** Sen. Jon Kyl (R-AZ) introduces “S. 1259 – PATIENTS Act of 2009,” “A bill to protect all patients by prohibiting the use of data obtained from comparative effectiveness research to deny coverage of items or services under Federal health care programs and to ensure that comparative effectiveness research accounts for advancements in personalized medicine and differences in patient treatment response.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

• **June 17, 2009:** Sen. John D. Rockefeller, IV (D-WV) introduces “S. 1278 – Consumers Health Care Act of 2009,” “A bill to establish the Consumers Choice Health Plan, a public health insurance plan that provides an affordable and accountable health insurance option for consumers.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.
• **June 17 – Sept. 14, 2009:** The “Gang of Six,” a group of Senators from the Senate Finance Committee composed of three Democrats (Max Baucus [MT], Jeff Bingaman [NM], and Kent Conrad [ND]) and three Republicans (Mike Enzi [WY], Chuck Grassley [IA], and Olympia Snowe [ME]), begin work on health care reform.34 There are 31 bipartisan “Gang of Six” meetings in 2009:35

1. June 17  
2. June 18  
3. June 23  
4. June 24  
5. June 25  
6. July 7  
7. July 8  
8. July 9  
9. July 15  
10. July 16  
11. July 20  
12. July 21  
13. July 22  
14. July 23  
15. July 27  
16. July 28  
17. July 29  
18. July 30  
19. July 30  
20. Aug. 3  
21. Aug. 4  
22. Aug. 5  
23. Aug. 6  
24. Aug. 6  
25. Aug. 20  
26. Sept. 4  
27. Sept. 8  
28. Sept. 9  
29. Sept. 10  
30. Sept. 11  
31. Sept. 14

---

35 U.S. Senate Committee on Finance website, accessed 7/12/2017
• **June 20, 2009:** Obama releases a statement on an “Agreement to Bring Down Drug Prices for America's Seniors”:

> "I am pleased to announce that an agreement has been reached between Senator Max Baucus and the nation's pharmaceutical companies that will bring down health care costs and reduce the price of prescription drugs for millions of America's seniors. ... The agreement reached today to lower prescription drug costs for seniors will be an important part of the legislation I expect to sign into law in October. I want to commend House chairmen Henry Waxman, George Miller and Charles Rangel for addressing this issue in the health reform legislation they unveiled this week. ..."\(^{36}\)

• **June 23, 2009:** Sen. Jim Demint (R-SC) introduces “S. 1324 –Health Care Freedom Act of 2009,” “A bill to ensure that every American has a health insurance plan that they can afford, own, and keep.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

• **June 23, 2009:** Rep. John Boehner (R-OH-8th District) introduces “H.R. 3002 – PATIENTS Act of 2009,” “To protect all patients by prohibiting the use of data obtained from comparative effectiveness research to deny coverage of items or services under Federal health care programs and to ensure that comparative effectiveness research accounts for advancements in personalized medicine and differences in patient treatment response.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 16, 2017.

• **June 26, 2009:** Rep. Tom Latham (R-IA-4th District) introduces “H.R. 3067 – Health Security for All Americans Act of 2009,” “To amend title XVIII of the Social Security Act to reform Medicare payments to physicians and certain other providers and

---

\(^{36}\) “Statement from President Obama on Agreement to Bring Down Drug Prices for America's Seniors,” ObamaWhiteHouse.archives.gov, June 20, 2009
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improve Medicare benefits, to encourage the offering of health coverage by small businesses, to provide tax incentives for the purchase of health insurance by individuals, to increase access to health care for veterans, to address the nursing shortage, and for other purposes.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

- **July 7, 2009**: The Obama White House sends out a press release from the president on health care reform:

  "I am pleased by the progress we’re making on health care reform and still believe, as I've said before, that one of the best ways to bring down costs, provide more choices, and assure quality is a public option that will force the insurance companies to compete and keep them honest. I look forward to a final product that achieves these very important goals."  

- **July 14, 2009**: Rep. John Shadegg (R-AZ-3rd District) introduces “H.R. 3217 – Health Care Choice Act of 2009,” “To amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for cooperative governing of individual health insurance coverage offered in interstate commerce.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

- **July 14, 2009**: H.R. 3200, one of the first major health care reform bills is introduced by Rep. John Dingell (D-MI-15th District) and submitted to several committees from July to October of 2009.  

- **July 16, 2009**: Sen. Jim DeMint (R-SC) introduces “S. 1459 –Health Care Choice Act of 2009,” “A bill to amend the Public Health Service Act to provide for cooperative governing of individual health insurance coverage offered in interstate commerce.”

---

37 “Statement from the President on Health Care Reform,” ObamaWhiteHouse.archives.gov, July 7, 2009
38 Congress.gov, accessed 7/12/2017
“There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

- **July 29, 2009**: President Obama attends a Town Hall on health care reform at Broughton High School in Raleigh, North Carolina.

- **Aug. 11, 2009**: President Obama attends a Town Hall on health care reform in New Hampshire, where he says:

  > “And my hope is we can do it in a bipartisan fashion, but the most important thing is getting it done for the American people.”


- **Aug. 15, 2009**: President Obama attends a Colorado Town Hall on health care reform.

- **Aug. 20, 2009**: A Town Hall with Sen. Lisa Murkowski (R-AK) is shown on C-SPAN where she mentions the “four weeks going through all aspects of the bill” but that the bipartisan committee was pressured to get it out immediately.

- **Aug. 24, 2009**: “Rep. Jim Marshall (D-GA-[8th District]) held his second Town Hall meeting on health care in Warner Robins, Georgia, on August 24th. The papers reported that over 1,000 people attended. … Marshall added that he hadn’t read H.R. 3200 because he knew it didn’t meet his criteria for health care reform and therefore he wasn’t going waste his time.”

---

39 “Obama’s Health Care Town Hall in Portsmouth,” NYTimes.com, Aug. 11, 2009
41 “Obama gets personal in health care town hall meeting,” CNN.com, Aug. 15, 2009
• **Sept. 9, 2009:** Obama addresses joint session on Congress, where Republican Rep. Joe Wilson (R-SC-2nd District) yells out “You lie,” on his plan for health care reform, and health care reform past and present:

> “I am not the first President to take up this cause, but I am determined to be the last. It has now been nearly a century since Theodore Roosevelt first called for health care reform. And ever since, nearly every President and Congress, whether Democrat or Republican, has attempted to meet this challenge in some way. A bill for comprehensive health reform was first introduced by John Dingell Sr. in 1943. Sixty-five years later, his son continues to introduce that same bill at the beginning of each session.”

43 44

• **Sept. 17, 2009:** H.R. 3590 is introduced in House. This version would go on to be debated, amended, passed and made into law as the ACA (Obamacare) on March 23, 2010.

• **Sept. 17, 2009:** Sen. Thomas Harkin (D-IA) introduces, “S. 1679 – Affordable Health Choices Act,” “An original bill to make quality, affordable health care available to all Americans, reduce costs, improve health care quality, enhance disease prevention, and strengthen the health care workforce.” “There have been no roll call votes related to this bill,” according to GovTrack.us as of July 9, 2017.

• **Oct. 8, 2009:** The bill H.R. 3590, titled “Service Members Home Ownership Tax Act,” is passed in House 416 [243 Democrats and 173 Republicans] to 0 with 16 No Votes [12 Democrats and 4 Republicans].45 There were 2 vacancies, and as House Speaker, Nancy Pelosi did not vote.46

---

43 “Transcript: Obama's Health Care Speech,” CBSNews.com, Sept. 9, 2009
45 Clerk.House.gov, accessed 7/9/2017
46 U.S. House of Representatives Library | Office of the Clerk email response 7/14/2017


On the last day of meetings on Oct. 13, 2009, Chairman Sen. Max Baucus (D-MT) said:

“It has been more than 22 years since the Finance Committee met for 8 days on a single bill. Senators offered, and the committee considered, 135 amendments. ... conducted 79 roll call votes. ... adopted 41 amendments.”⁴⁸

The vote on the measure was 14 to 9 and Sen. Olympia Snowe was the only Republican voting for the measure along with 13 Democrats, and 9 Republicans voting against.⁴⁹ It was posted for review before the final committee vote, according to the Senate Committee on Finance website.

- **Oct. 19, 2009:** The bill “S.1796 - America's Healthy Future Act of 2009” is introduced by Sen. Max Baucus (D-MT) on Oct. 19, 2009. Although there are no roll call votes on this bill, “S. 1796 later became an amendment to H.R. 3590, and floor debate began on November 21 with final Senate passage on December 24, 2009.” ⁵⁰

- **Oct. 29, 2009:** Affordable Health Care for America Act (H.R. 3962), also titled “H.R.3962 - Preservation of Access to Care for Medicare Beneficiaries and Pension Relief Act of 2010” on Congress.gov, is passed by the House 220 to 215 on Nov. 7, 2009. Voting for the bill were 219 Democrats and 1 Republican, with 39 Democrats

---


⁵⁰ Footnote 23 to “Congress, Interest Groups, and the Strategic Use of Judicial Review,” by Gary S. Pascoa, Rhode Island College, Honors Project, Digital Commons, April 17, 2014
and 176 Republicans voting against it.\textsuperscript{51} It was then sent to the Senate for a vote. Congresswoman Nancy Pelosi introduced the Rep. John Dingell (D-MI-15\textsuperscript{th} District)-sponsored bill on Oct. 29, 2009, which combined earlier versions by the Committees that worked on H.R. 3200, according to GOP.gov. This eventually became Public Law 111-192 on June 25, 2010.

- **Nov. 3, 2009:** A Manager’s Amendment is filed for H.R. 3962 – Affordable Health Care for America Act to add “key improvements” to the bill H.R. 3962.\textsuperscript{52}

- **Nov. 18, 2009:** Sen. Harry Reid (D-NV) releases the product of merged Committee health care reform negotiations on previous bills as “H.R. 3590 – Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act.”

- **Dec. 8, 2009:** The Senate rejects the bipartisan Nelson-Hatch Amendment to the “Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act,” by Sen. Orrin Hatch (R-UT) and Sen. Ben Nelson (D-NE), 54 [51 Democrats, 2 Republicans, 1 Independent] to 45 [38 Democrats, 7 Republicans] proposed to prohibit “funding of abortion by the government insurance program, and prohibited federal subsidies for private plans that cover abortion (with exceptions for abortion in cases of rape, incest, or to save the life of the mother).”\textsuperscript{53}

- **Dec. 24, 2009:** H.R. 3590 the “Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act” is passed 60 to 39 by the Senate [59 Democrats and 1 Independent voted for the bill, 39 Republicans voted against the bill, with Republican Jim Bunning [R-KY] not voting.\textsuperscript{54}

\textsuperscript{51} “House passes health care reform bill,” CNN.com, Nov. 8, 2009

\textsuperscript{52} “Pelosi Statement on Manager’s Amendment to the Affordable Health Care for America Act,” Pelosi.House.gov, Nov. 3, 2009

\textsuperscript{53} “U.S. Senate Rejects NRLC-Backed Nelson-Hatch Amendment, 54 to 45,” NRLC.org, Vol 36, Nov/Dec 2009

\textsuperscript{54} “Roll Call Vote 111th Congress - 1st Session.” Senate.gov, accessed 7/16/2017
• **Jan. 5, 2010**: USNews.com reports, “C-SPAN Demands Democrats Open Secret Health Reform Talks”

  “C-SPAN's open call for full transparency is a highly unusual move for a media organization that steadfastly refuses to take sides, demonstrating how far Democrats have gone in cloaking the healthcare bill with more secrecy than even the Washington Wizards locker room.”

• **Jan 19, 2010**: Scott Brown (R) is elected to replace the late Sen. Ted Kennedy (D) from Massachusetts,\(^55\) which shifts the balance of power on the health care reform vote in the Senate.

• **Jan. 29, 2010**: In response to comments that not all ACA discussions were shown on C-SPAN, President Obama said on C-SPAN at a House Republican Conference:

  “...the truth of the matter is that if you look at the health care process -- just over the course of the year -- overwhelmingly the majority of it actually was on C-SPAN, ... And I take responsibility for not having structured it in a way where it was all taking place in one place that could be filmed. How to do that logistically would not have been as easy as it sounds, because you're shuttling back and forth between the House, the Senate, different offices, et cetera, different legislators. But I think it's a legitimate criticism. So on that one, I take responsibility.”\(^56\)

• **Feb. 10, 2010**: President Obama and Republicans meet for a two-hour negotiation session on many issues including health care.\(^57\)

---

\(^55\) Michael Cooper, “G.O.P. Senate Victory Stuns Democrats,” NYTimes.com, Jan. 19, 2010

\(^56\) “Remarks by the President at GOP House Issues Conference,” Obama White House Archives, Jan. 29, 2010
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- **Feb. 22, 2010:** Obama outlines a health care plan which “attempts to bridge the difference between House and Senate bills.”  

- **Feb. 25, 2010:** President Obama holds a bipartisan health care summit and presents his health care reform bill, according to NYTimes.com:

  “By day’s end, it seemed clear that the all-day televised session might have driven the parties even farther apart. Republicans said there was no way they would vote for Mr. Obama’s bill, and Democrats were talking openly about pushing it through Congress on a simple majority vote using a controversial parliamentary maneuver known as reconciliation.”

Some Republican members in attendance at the Feb. 25, 2010, summit were Rep. Joe Barton (R-TX-6th District), Sen. Tom Coburn (R-OK) and Sen. Mitch McConnell (R-KY).

In its article on the summit, CNN.com quotes Obama as saying:

"Politically speaking, there may not be any reason for Republicans to want to do anything, ... But, I thought it was worthwhile for us to make this effort."  

- **March 9, 2010:** House Speaker Nancy Pelosi [D-CA-12th District] speaks at the 2010 Legislative Conference for the National Association of Counties:

  “You’ve heard about the controversies within the bill, the process about the bill, one or the other. But I don’t know if you have heard that it is legislation for the future, not just about health care for America, but about a healthier America, where preventive care is not something that

---

59 By Sheryl Gay Stolberg and Robert Pear, “President Urges Focus on Common Ground,” NYTimes.com, Feb. 25, 2010
60 “Highlights from Obama's health care summit,” CNN.com, Feb. 25, 2010
you have to pay a deductible for or out of pocket. Prevention, prevention, prevention—it’s about diet, not diabetes. It’s going to be very, very exciting. But we have to pass the bill so that you can find out what is in it, away from the fog of the controversy.⁶¹

- **March 18, 2010:** The preliminary estimate from the CBO is presented to Speaker Nancy Pelosi. In Table 2, under “Effects on Insurance Coverage,” the chart shows the “Post-Policy Uninsured Population” of the nonelderly going from 50 million in 2010 to 23 million in 2019.⁶²

- **March 19, 2010:** The final ACA bill is available for review the Friday before the Sunday vote.⁶³

- **March 21, 2010:** On Sunday, March 21, 2010, the final House vote was 219 to 212 to approve H.R. 3590, “with every Republican voting no.”⁶⁴ The final vote of the 435 representatives was 219 Democrats voting for the bill, and 34 Democrats and 178 Republicans voting against the bill. There were 4 vacancies at the time.⁶⁵

- **March 23, 2010:** H.R. 3590 is signed by President Obama and becomes Public Law No. 111-148. The final law’s page length is 906.⁶⁶

---


⁶² CBO.gov, accessed 7-9-2017

⁶³ Geoff Earle, “Dems draw up final health bill, set for vote Sunday,” NYPost.com, March 19, 2010


⁶⁵ GovTrack.us, accessed 7/9/2017

⁶⁶ Congress.gov, accessed 7/16/2017
III. Health Care Reform Bills that Evolved Into the Final ACA

During the time up to the ACA (Obamacare) passage, several health care reform bills were introduced. Few made it through the Committee process. Versions changed as they passed from Committees and were voted on by the House and Senate.

H.R. 3590, a merger of the major Senate bills, was the one to go on for the final vote in 2010, and became ACA (Obamacare).

The following is information on each of the major bills that contributed in some part to the final ACA bill on its path to become law:

1. **H.R. 3200**: This bill was introduced on July 14, 2009. On August 2009: “‘I had people come to my town meeting with sheets of paper that thick off the Internet and quoting from the bill,’ Sen. Grassley [R-IA] tells FRONTLINE ‘I’ve never had that happen before. People were up on it, and people didn't like what they were reading.’” 67

   **Page length** – 1,017 68 pages Introduced in House 7/14/2009; 2,452 pages69 as Reported in House70 10/14/2009

   **Length of time available before vote**: There was never a vote on the bill

   Representatives listed on the bill – all Democrats

   Mr. DINGELL (for himself, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California, Mr. STARK, Mr. PALLONE, and Mr. ANDREWS) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committees on Ways and Means, Education and Labor, Oversight and Government Reform, and the Budget, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

---

67 “Obama’s Deal,” PBS.org, April 13, 2010
68 https://www.congress.gov/111/bills/hr3200/BILLS-111hr3200ih.pdf
69 https://www.congress.gov/111/bills/hr3200/BILLS-111hr3200rh.pdf
70 “This phrase accompanies a committee’s report of its findings and recommendations to the parent house after it has examined a bill. The version of the bill as reported includes changes, if any, that have been recommended by the committee.” PotomacPublishing.com, accessed 7/9/2017
2. **S.1679**: Sen. Chuck Grassley [R-IA] remarked on the bill:

> “Let’s start with the HELP Committee bill. On September 17th, the HELP Committee finally released its 839-page health care bill, over two months after the Democrats on the HELP committee voted to report it. When I was back in Iowa for the August recess, I held 17 town meetings. Due to the controversial health care bill that the HELP Committee and the three House committees had just voted on, the attendance was the highest I've seen in the 2,871 town halls I've held during my 29 years of service in the Senate. Many of the people who attended were citing sections from the health reform bills. They had good questions. I heard repeatedly about the new powers being granted to the government in these bills. So I decided that we should have a catalog of how many times these bills grant new powers to the Secretary of Health and Human Services. Well, I have the HELP Committee bill here with me today and there's a lot going on in the 839 pages of this bill.”

**Page length** – 839  
**Length of time available before vote:** There was never a vote on the bill introduced Sept. 17, 2009

**Representatives listed on the bill – a Democrat**

Mr. HARKIN, from the Committee on Health, Education, Labor, and Pensions

---

71 “Grassley floor statement on the government takeover contained in the HELP and House health care bills,” U.S. Senate Committee on Finance website, Nov. 2, 2009


“The measure went to committee (Ways and Means, then chaired by Rep. [sic] Rengel) and then to the floor of the House where it was debated on October 7, 2009 and eventually passed with a vote of 243-173 ...”

**Page lengths** – 6 pages **74** as Introduced on 9/17/2009
- 6 **75** pages - Engrossed in House version
- 6 **76** pages when Placed on Calendar Senate on 10/13/2009
- 2,074 **77** pages as Amend. Ordered Printed (Senate) 11/19/2009
- 2,407 **78** pages for the Engrossed Amendment Senate version on 12/24/2009
- 2,407 **79** pages for the Public print of 12/24/2009
- 906 **80** for the Enrolled bill on 1/5/2010

**Final Law’s Page Length** – 906 **81** - Public Law 111-148

**Length of time available before the final vote:** March 19, 2010, **82** to the vote on March 21, 2010

**Representatives listed on the bill Sept. 17, 2009** – Bipartisan

Mr. RANGE (for himself, Mr. SKELTON, Mr. BLUMENAUER, Mr. KIND, Mr. JONES, Mr. KAGEN, Mr. STARK, Mr. LEVIN, Mr. MCDERMOTT, Mr. LEWIS of Georgia, Mr. NEAL of Massachusetts, Mr. TANNER, Mr. BECERRA, Mr. DOGGETT, Mr. POMEROY, Mr. THOMPSON of California, Mr. LARSON of Connecticut, Mr. PASCRELL, Ms. BERKLEY, Mr. CROW-LEY, Mr. MEEK of Florida, Mr. VAN HOLLEN, Ms. SCHWARTZ, Mr. DAVIS of Alabama, Mr. DAVIS of Illinois, Mr. Etheridge, Ms. Linda T. Sanchez of California, Mr. HIGGINS, Mr. YARMUTH, and Ms. Ginny Brown-Waite of Florida) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Ways and Means

---

**Notes:**

74 https://www.congress.gov/111/bills/hr3590/BILLS-111hr3590ih.pdf
75 https://www.congress.gov/111/bills/hr3590/BILLS-111hr3590eh.pdf
76 https://www.congress.gov/111/bills/hr3590/BILLS-111hr3590pcs.pdf
77 https://www.congress.gov/111/bills/hr3590/BILLS-111hr3590as.pdf
78 https://www.congress.gov/111/bills/hr3590/BILLS-111hr3590as.pdf
79 https://www.congress.gov/111/bills/hr3590/BILLS-111hr3590as.pdf
80 https://www.congress.gov/111/bills/hr3590/BILLS-111hr3590enr.pdf
82 Geoff Earle, “Dems draw up final health bill, set for vote Sunday,” NYPost.com, March 19, 2010
4. **S. 1796**: Was “Ordered to be printed” on Oct. 19, 2009

   **Page length** – 1,502 83 pages as Introduced Oct. 19, 2009

   **Length of time available before vote**: There was no vote on this bill “Ordered to be printed” on Oct. 19, 2009

   **Representatives listed on the bill** – a Democrat

   Mr. BAUCUS, (D) from the [Senate] Committee on Finance

5. **H.R. 3962**: A NationalReview.com reporter got a copy of this bill on Oct. 29, 2009, before the Nov. 7, 2009, vote:

   “I’m starting to read the health bill Speaker Pelosi unveiled at a pep rally this morning. I feel like I’m back in college. I’ve got something to read. I will read it not because it is interesting, but because reading it will make me a better educated person. It is long. It is very long. It is 1,990 pages long.”

   **Page length** – 1,990 84 pages as Introduced Oct. 29, 2009

   **Length of time available before vote**: Oct. 29, 2009, to Nov. 7, 2009

   **Representatives listed on the bill** – all Democrats

   Mr. DINGELL (for himself, Mr. RANGEL, Mr. WAXMAN, Mr. GEORGE MILLER of California, Mr. STARK, Mr. PALLONE, and Mr. ANDREWS) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Energy and Commerce, and in addition to the Committees on Education and Labor, Ways and Means, Oversight and Government Reform, the Budget, Rules, Natural Resources, and the Judiciary, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

---

IV. The Final Version of the ACA Bill

H.R. 3590, which became the ACA (Obamacare), was originally introduced on Sept. 17, 2009, according to Congress.gov:85

``An Act to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to modify the first-time homebuyers credit in the case of members of the Armed Forces and certain other Federal employees, and for other purposes."


The bill H.R. 3590, titled “Service Members Home Ownership Tax Act,” is passed in House 416 [243 Democrats and 173 Republicans] to 0 with 16 No Votes [12 Democrats and 4 Republicans] on Oct. 8, 2009. There were 2 vacancies, and as House Speaker, Nancy Pelosi did not vote.86

The Senate version of the “Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act,” which was voted on and passed Dec. 24, 2009, was created from several other bills and Committee negotiations on bills being merged:

``Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-NV) last night unveiled the ‘Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act’ (H.R. 3590), which merges health reform bills approved by the Senate Finance and Health, Education, Labor & Pensions committees."

---

85 Congress.gov, accessed 6/19/2017
86 U.S. House of Representatives Library | Office of the Clerk email response 7/14/2017
87 “Reid releases merged Senate health reform bill,” News.AHA.org, Nov. 19, 2009
There are seven text versions of H.R. 3590 on Congress.gov before it became Public Law 111-148 on March 23, 2010. The Senate Dec. 24, 2009, vote on H.R. 3590:

“The Senate bill passed without a single GOP vote, after a 25-day floor debate marked by biting partisan rhetoric. As Democrats overcame divisions and closed ranks, accepting concessions to push the bill through, Republicans became fierce in opposition. Even Sen. Olympia J. Snowe (R-Maine) -- a moderate courted over many months by Obama -- responded ‘No,’ frowning when the Senate clerk called her name.”88

Congress.gov records 209 Actions, from Amendment votes to the final vote, for H.R. 3590, including 34 Senate and 2 House roll call votes from Oct. 8, 2009, to when it was passed by the House on March 21, 2010.

The Senate’s first vote on H.R. 3590 was Nov. 21, 2009, to continue debate on the bill, then it was passed on for House consideration on Dec. 24, 2009.

The March 18, 2010, CBO report came out three days before the vote on March 21, 2010:

“On March 18, 2010 — three days before the final ACA vote in the House — the official Twitter account of the House GOP asked, ‘Will Speaker Pelosi *Wait for the Final Number* from the CBO?’ The [CBO] score was released that day.”89 [March 18, 2010, 3 days before the House vote, and 5 days before the ACA (Obamacare) became law.]

… Republicans were still not going to vote for the bill, according to House Minority Leader John Boehner in an article dated March 18, 2010:

---


89 Nathaniel Weixel, “Democrats turn tables on GOP in ObamaCare messaging war,” TheHill.com, May 7, 2017
“We're going to continue to work closely together and to do everything that we can do to make sure that this bill never, ever, ever passes.”

On March 21, 2010, the final House vote was 219 to 212 to approve H.R. 3590, “with every Republican voting no.” The final vote of the 435 representatives was 219 Democrats voting for the bill, and 34 Democrats and 178 Republicans voting against the bill. There were 4 vacancies at the time.

On March 23, 2010, Obama signed the 906-page ACA (Obamacare) into law.

---

90 “Republicans Ready Strategy to Scuttle Health Bill, as Democrats Push Forward,” FoxNews.com, March 18, 2010


92 GovTrack.us, accessed 7/9/2017
V. Conclusion on the Question

Was the ACA (Obamacare) negotiated by the Democrats in secret and did they keep Republicans out of that negotiation process on purpose?

Here are three conclusions from this report. However, please keep in mind that the 60 discussions are just a small fraction of such discussions as there must have been thousands, if not tens of thousands, of official and unofficial discussions about health care and the ACA during the 427 days it took to pass the ACA (Obamacare) law.93

1. The quotes by Sen. Mitch McConnell (R-KY) on Oct. 2, 2009, and current Vice President Mike Pence and Sen. Richard Burr (R-NC) on Jan. 13, 2010, show they wanted the health care negotiations out from behind closed doors, and that the CBO94 scoring of any health care bill was important to Sen. McConnell.

2. There were bipartisan discussions about health care reform (interactions with or accessible by Republicans) during those 427 days. The following 60 are just from this report:

- 31 “Gang of Six” Senate Committee on Finance bipartisan discussions from June 17, 2009, to Sept. 14, 2009

- 14 regular Senate Committee on Finance bipartisan meetings from Feb. 25, 2009, to Oct. 13, 2009

---

93 If each Congress member discussed President Obama’s health care cause with a non-Congress member one time a day for the 427 days it took to pass the ACA, that would have been 228,445 (535 x 1 x 427) such discussions.

94 CBO is the acronym for the Congressional Budget Office. “Since 1975, CBO has produced independent analyses of budgetary and economic issues to support the Congressional budget process. Each year, the agency’s economists and budget analysts produce dozens of reports and hundreds of cost estimates for proposed legislation.” CBO.gov, accessed 7/27/2017
3. It is probably impossible to ascertain the intent of Democrat and Republican actions regarding the ACA process.

For example, did Sen. Mitch McConnell (R-KY) and current Vice President Mike Pence really want negotiations about health care out in the open, or did they actually want to cripple or kill the health care negotiations? When President Obama said various times that if you liked your doctor you can keep your doctor, did he think it was true or that it was substantially true when he said it, or did he say it knowing it wouldn’t be true but he decided to say it to garner public support needed to get the votes for the ACA?

In sum, the 427 days it took to pass the ACA (Obamacare) were fraught with emotional and biased views and vast political differences as to whether such a law would be good for America. The process making the ACA (Obamacare) law was quite political, meaning that things weren’t always as they seemed.
Appendix A:

“If you like your doctor”

Much has been made about the fact that during the process to pass the ACA (Obamacare), President Obama said many times that in effect “if you like your doctor” or your health care plan you can “keep” them.

From the President Obama White House archives, the search for the words “if you like your doctor” results in 21 times Obama used that phrase from June 11, 2009, to the day he signed the ACA (Obamacare) into law on March 23, 2010.

The following is a list of the 21 times that President Obama said the phrase “if you like your doctor” [which has been underlined for brevity], from June 11, 2009, to the day he signed ACA (Obamacare) into law on March 23, 2010, from a speech, address or remark on the President Obama White House website:

1. **June 11, 2009:** “Remarks by the President in Town Hall Meeting on Health Care in Green Bay, Wisconsin”

   “I know that there are millions of Americans who are happy, who are content with their health care coverage -- they like their plan, they value their relationship with their doctor. And no matter how we reform health care, I intend to keep this promise: If you like your doctor, you'll be able to keep your doctor; if you like your health care plan, you'll be able to keep your health care plan.

   So don't let people scare you. If you like what you've got, we're not going to make you change. But in order to preserve what's best about our health care system, we have to fix what doesn't work. For we've reached the

---

95 ObamaWhiteHouse.archives.gov, accessed 7/9/2017
point where doing nothing about the cost of health care
is no longer an option.”

2. **June 15, 2009**: “Remarks by the President to the Annual Conference of the American Medical Association”

“So let me begin by saying this to you and to the American people: I know that there are millions of Americans who are content with their health care coverage -- they like their plan and, most importantly, they value their relationship with their doctor. They trust you. And that means that no matter how we reform health care, we will keep this promise to the American people: If you like your doctor, you will be able to keep your doctor, period. If you like your health care plan, you'll be able to keep your health care plan, period. **No one will take it away, no matter what. My view is that health care reform should be guided by a simple principle: Fix what's broken and build on what works. And that's what we intend to do.”

3. **July 15, 2009**: “Remarks by the President on Health Care Reform”

“I know a lot of Americans who are satisfied with their health care right now are wondering what reform would mean for them, so let me be clear: If you like your doctor or health care provider, you can keep them. If you like your health care plan, you can keep that too.”

4. **July 17, 2009**: President’s “Weekly Address: President Obama Says Health Care Reform Cannot Wait”

“Those who oppose reform will also tell you that under our plan, you won’t get to choose your doctor – that some bureaucrat will choose for you. That’s also not true.”
Michelle and I don’t want anyone telling us who our family’s doctor should be – and no one should decide that for you either. Under our proposals, if you like your doctor, you keep your doctor. If you like your current insurance, you keep that insurance. Period, end of story.”

5. July 29, 2009: “Remarks by the President at Town Hall in Raleigh, North Carolina”

“First of all, nobody is talking about some government takeover of health care. I’m tired of hearing that. I have been as clear as I can be. Under the reform I’ve proposed, if you like your doctor, you keep your doctor. If you like your health care plan, you keep your health care plan. These folks need to stop scaring everybody. Nobody is talking about you forcing -- to have to change your plans.”

6. Aug. 8, 2009: President’s “Weekly Address: President Obama Calls Health Insurance Reform Key to Stronger Economy and Improvement on Status Quo”

“So, let me explain what reform will mean for you. And let me start by dispelling the outlandish rumors that reform will promote euthanasia, cut Medicaid, or bring about a government takeover of health care. That’s simply not true. This isn’t about putting government in charge of your health insurance; it’s about putting you in charge of your health insurance. Under the reforms we seek, if you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. If you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan.

And while reform is obviously essential for the 46 million Americans who don’t have health insurance, it will also provide more stability and security to the hundreds of millions who do. Right now, we have a system that works well for the insurance industry, but that doesn’t always work well for you. What we need, and what we will have
when we pass health insurance reform, are consumer protections to make sure that those who have insurance are treated fairly and that insurance companies are held accountable.”

7. **Aug. 11, 2009:** “Remarks by the President at Town Hall on Health Insurance Reform in Portsmouth, New Hampshire”

“Now, let me just start by setting the record straight on a few things I've been hearing out here -- (laughter) -- about reform. Under the reform we're proposing, if you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. If you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan.”

8. **Aug. 14, 2009:** “Remarks by the President in town hall on health care, Belgrade, Montana”

“Now, if you are one of nearly 46 million people who don't have health insurance, you'll finally have quality affordable options. And if you do have health insurance, we'll help make sure that your insurance is more affordable and more secure. If you like your health care plan, you can keep your health care plan. This is not some government takeover. If you like your doctor, you can keep seeing your doctor. This is important. I don't want government bureaucrats meddling in your health care, but I also don't want insurance company bureaucrats meddling in your health care either. That's what reform is about.”
9. **Aug. 15, 2009:** “Remarks By The President In Town Hall On Health Care Grand Junction Colorado”

> “At the same time -- I just want to be completely clear about this; I keep on saying this but somehow folks aren't listening -- if you like your health care plan, you keep your health care plan. Nobody is going to force you to leave your health care plan. If you like your doctor, you keep seeing your doctor. I don't want government bureaucrats meddling in your health care. But the point is, I don't want insurance company bureaucrats meddling in your health care either.”

10. **Aug. 15, 2009:** President’s “Weekly Address: President Obama Says Health Reform Will Put Patients' Interests Ahead of Insurance Company Profits”

> “First, no matter what you’ve heard, if you like your doctor or health care plan, you can keep it. If you don’t have insurance, you’ll finally be able to afford insurance. And everyone will have the security and stability that’s missing today.”

11. **Aug. 20, 2009:** “Remarks by the President at the Organizing for America National Health Care Forum”

> “First, no matter what you've heard, if you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor under the reform proposals that we've put forward. If you like your private health insurance plan, you can keep it. If your employer provides you health insurance on the job, nobody is talking about messing with that.

If you don't have health insurance, we do intend to provide you high-quality, affordable options.”
Obama’s 427-days of Turning the ACA (Obamacare) into Health Care Law


“I’ve said from the beginning, under the reform we seek, if you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. If you like your private health insurance plan, you can keep your plan. Period.

Now, the source of a lot of these fears about government-run health care is confusion over what’s called the public option.”


“Now, the proposal I put forward gives Americans more control over their health insurance and their health care by holding insurance companies more accountable. It builds on the current system where most Americans get their health insurance from their employer. If you like your plan, you can keep your plan. If you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. I can tell you as the father of two young girls, I would not want any plan that interferes with the relationship between a family and their doctor.”

14. March 6, 2010: President’s “Weekly Address: Health Reform Will Benefit American Families and Businesses This Year”

“What won’t change when this bill is signed this: if you like the insurance plan you have now, you can keep it. If you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. Because nothing should get in the way of the relationship between a family and their doctor.”
15. March 8, 2010: “Remarks by the President on Health Insurance Reform”

“And that’s why my proposal builds on the current system where most Americans get their health insurance from their employer. If you like your plan, you can keep your plan. If you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. But I can tell you, as the father of two young girls, I don’t want a plan that interferes with the relationship between a family and their doctor. So we’re going to preserve that.”

16. March 10, 2010: “Remarks by the President at a Fundraising Dinner for Senator Claire McCaskill”

“But I don’t believe we should give the government or insurance companies more control over health care in America. I believe it’s time to give you, the American people, more control over your health insurance. And that’s why my proposal — my proposal builds on the current system, where most Americans get their health insurance from their employer. If you like your plan, you can keep your plan. If you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. I’m the father of two young girls — I don’t want anybody interfering between my family and their doctor.”

17. March 10, 2010: “Remarks by the President on Health Insurance Reform in St. Charles, MO”

“So my proposal builds on the current system where most Americans get their health care from their employers. If you like your plan, you can keep your plan. If you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. But my proposal would change three important things about the current health care system. Now I want everybody to pay attention -- I know it’s a little warm in
here, but I want you to pay attention, so that when you are talking to your friends and your neighbors and folks at work and they’re wondering what’s going on, I want you to be able to just say, here are the three things Obama is trying to do.”

18. March 15, 2010: “Remarks by the President on Health Care Reform in Strongsville, Ohio”

“And that’s what our proposal does. Our proposal builds on the current system where most Americans get their health insurance from their employer. So if you like your plan, you can keep your plan. If you like your doctor, you can keep your doctor. I don’t want to interfere with people’s relationships between them and their doctors.”

19. March 19, 2010: “Remarks by the President on Health Insurance Reform in Fairfax, Virginia”

“Now, I just -- I just want to be clear, everybody. Listen up, because we have heard every crazy thing about this bill. You remember. First we heard this was a government takeover of health care. Then we heard that this was going to kill granny. Then we heard, well, illegal immigrants are going to be getting the main benefits of this bill. There has been -- they have thrown every argument at this legislative effort. But when it -- it turns out, at the end of the day, what we’re talking about is common-sense reform. That’s all we’re talking about.

If you like your doctor, you’re going to be able to keep your doctor. If you like your plan, keep your plan. I don’t believe we should give government or the insurance companies more control over health care in America. I think it’s time to give you, the American people, more control over your health.”
20. March 23, 2010: “Remarks by the President and Vice President on Health Insurance Reform at the Department of the Interior” (after signing Obamacare into law)

“I said this once or twice, but it bears repeating: If you like your current insurance, you will keep your current insurance. No government takeover; nobody is changing what you’ve got if you’re happy with it. If you like your doctor, you will be able to keep your doctor. In fact, more people will keep their doctors because your coverage will be more secure and more stable than it was before I signed this legislation.”


“And right now, we need the help of this Congress to finally pass reforms that bring down the crushing cost of health care and give every American an opportunity to get decent health care in this country. I gave a speech about this earlier in the week. When it comes to the cost of health care, the status quo is unsustainable. Inaction is no longer an option. If we do nothing, more families will go bankrupt, more businesses will shut their doors, more debt will be passed on to our children.

It's time to fix what’s broken about our health care system and build on what works. And I've said this before -- if you like your doctor, you should be able to keep your health care. If you like your health care plan, you should be able to keep it. But we need a system where every American can finally afford their health care.”

Now that it is clear that President Obama made various statements that one could keep their doctor when that turned out not always to be true, a question arises as to why he would say such statements when soon after it became clear those statements weren’t true.
While we couldn’t find what his official intent was when he made those statements, the following two statements were the closest we could find:

1. On Nov. 4, 2013, in the aftermath of Obamacare being passed and some people losing their coverage, Obama refined his comments on the “if you like your doctor” phrase:

   “Now, if you have or had one of these plans before the Affordable Care Act came into law and you really liked that plan, what we said was you can keep it if it hasn’t changed since the law passed. So we wrote into the Affordable Care Act, you’re grandfathered in on that plan. But if the insurance company changes it, then what we’re saying is they’ve got to change it to a higher standard. They’ve got to make it better, they’ve got to improve the quality of the plan that they’re selling.

That’s part of the promise that we made too. That’s why we went out of our way to make sure that the law allowed for grandfathering, but if we had allowed these old plans to be downgraded, or sold to new enrollees once the law had already passed, then we would have broken an even more important promise -- making sure that Americans gain access to health care that doesn't leave them one illness away from financial ruin. The bottom line is that we are making the insurance market better for everybody and that's the right thing to do.”

2. When asked by Chuck Todd of NBC News on Nov. 7, 2013, “Do you feel like you owe these folks an apology for misleading them? [with the "If you like your health care plan, you can keep it," phrase], President Obama said:

   “You know-- I regret very much that-- what we intended to do, which is to make sure that everybody is moving into better plans because they want 'em, as opposed to

---

96 “Obama: "What We Said Was You Can Keep It If It Hasn't Changed Since The Law Passed," Transcript from video on RealClearPolitics.com, Nov. 4, 2013
because they're forced into it. ... Keep in mind that most of the folks who are going to-- who got these c-- cancellation letters, they'll be able to get better care at the same cost or cheaper in these new marketplaces. Because they'll have more choice. They'll have more competition. They're part of a bigger pool. Insurance companies are going to be hungry for their business.

So-- the majority of folks will end up being better off, of course, because the website's not working right. They don't necessarily know it right. But it-- even though it's a small percentage of folks who may be disadvantaged, you know, it means a lot to them. And it's scary to them. And I am sorry that they-- you know, are finding themselves in this situation, based on assurances they got from me.”  

Appendix B:

Compromise Deals to Gain Votes for the ACA (Obamacare)

In order to get the votes to pass H.R. 3590 in its earliest stages, some compromises for certain states were made from the Nov. 21, 2009, vote that led to the Dec. 24, 2009, Senate vote which led to H.R. 3590 being passed by the House and enacted on March 23, 2010.

The following are details on two of the more notable deals known as the “Louisiana Purchase” and the “Cornhusker Kickback”:

1. **Louisiana Purchase**

   On Nov. 21, 2009, Rep. Mary Landrieu [D-LA] was among the 59 Democrats and 1 Independent\(^99\) to vote to “invoke cloture” and proceed with debate on H.R. 3590.\(^100\)

   Rep. Landrieu pushed for a provision for her state of Louisiana, which was at the request of Republican Gov. Bobby Jindal, referred to as the “Louisiana Purchase.”\(^101\) On Congress.gov, the text in the bill for Nov. 19, 2009 states:

   “Sec. 2006. Special adjustment to FMAP determination for certain States recovering from a major disaster.”

   On Nov. 21, 2009, Rep. Landrieu made a floor statement during a Senate Session on health care reform on C-SPAN:

   “My vote today to move forward on this important debate should in no way be construed by the supporters

---

\(^99\) “Roll Call Vote 111th Congress - 1st Session,” Senate.gov, accessed 7/13/2017

\(^100\) “Public Opinion And Health Reform: Looking At Hot-Button House-Senate Differences,” HealthAffairs.org, Dec. 15, 2009

of this current framework as an indication of how I might vote as this debate comes to an end. It is a vote to move forward to continue the good and essential and important and imperative work that is under way. …”

In that same speech, she also said that extra dollars flowing in from Hurricane Katrina’s reconstruction:

“made us seem as if we were Connecticut and not Louisiana. Like we had ... overnight become rich. That is not the case ... it is not a $100 million fix. It’s a $300 million fix. And it is the number one request of my governor, who is a Republican. And it is unanimously supported by every member of our delegation, Democrat and Republican. I’m proud to have asked for it.”

On Feb. 4, 2010, Rep. Landrieu defended the provision stating:

“Nothing about this effort was secret. Nothing. ... I think the editors of our newspapers would be very interested since they’ve been reporting on it since the first meeting on January 11, 2009. ... but never did I say at any time that if this wasn’t in the bill that I wouldn’t vote for it or if it was in the bill that I would vote for it.”

Although not named by state, Rep. Landrieu’s provision was not cut from the ACA. Text in the enacted Public Law No: 111-148 for H.R. 3590 signed by President Obama on March 23, 2010, contains the following provision:

“SEC. 2006. Special adjustment to FMAP determination for certain states recovering from a major disaster.”

102 “Senator Landrieu on Health Care,” C-SPAN Transcript, accessed 7/13/2017
2. **Cornhusker Kickback**

Nebraska Democratic Senator Ben Nelson is reported to have received from Senate Majority Leader Harry Reid (D-NV) what was dubbed the “Cornhusker kickback,” on Dec. 19, 2009,\(^{104}\) in exchange for his Dec. 24, 2009, Senate vote on H.R. 3590.\(^{105}\)

Sen. Nelson spoke about the “so-called Medicaid fix for the State of Nebraska …” about “the ‘Omaha Stakes’ fix” and “special deal” on Dec. 22, 2009, during a Senate meeting on H.R. 3590:

“It is not a special deal for Nebraska. It is, in fact, an opportunity to get rid of an unfunded Federal mandate for all the states. Let me repeat that: for all the States. There is nothing special about it, and it is fair.”\(^{106}\)

Sen. Nelson voted for H.R. 3590 on Dec. 24, 2009.\(^{107}\)

On Jan. 15, 2010, Sen. Nelson wrote to Reid:

> “After raising the issue of the unfunded mandate during Senate negotiations, a provision was added to exempt the State of Nebraska from paying any additional Medicaid funds as a result of the bill. ... I request that this specific exemption for the State of Nebraska be removed, and that it instead be replaced with a provision giving all state governments the same treatment regarding the state match for the new Medicaid expansion.”\(^{108}\)

This provision did not make it into the final ACA passage on March 21, 2010.\(^{109}\)

---

\(^{104}\) Peter Sullivan, “ObamaCare on his mind,” TheHill.com, Sept. 30, 2014

\(^{105}\) “Frontline: Obama’s Deal: Chronology,” PBS.org, accessed 7/13/2017

\(^{106}\) Congressional Record, accessed 7/13/2009

\(^{107}\) GovTrack.us, accessed 7/13/2017

\(^{108}\) David M. Drucker, “Nelson Asks Reid to Drop Medicaid Break for Nebraska,” RollCall.com, Jan. 15, 2010

\(^{109}\) The Rachel Maddow Show, “There is no ‘Cornhusker kickback’,” MSNBC.com, March 28, 2012
Appendix C:

19 Taxes, Penalties, Fees, Revenue Enhancements, and Deduction Eliminations in Obamacare

In all, Obamacare had 12 new or increased taxes and fees, and seven lowered or eliminated tax benefits and credits. The PPACA contains 12 of those revenue generators, the Reconciliation Act contains four, and three are found in both.

The following 19 taxes, penalties, fees, revenue enhancements, and deduction eliminations also have citations, brief descriptions, and relevant passages from the ACA (Obamacare).

1. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9007. Additional Requirements for Charitable Hospitals
Sec. 10903. Modification of Limitation on Charges by Charitable Hospitals
Sec. 4959. Taxes on Failures by Hospital Organizations

Tax Penalty for Non-Compliance:
Amends the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to add additional requirements for hospitals wishing to file as 501(r)(3) charities, and taxes those hospitals $50,000 if they fail to meet the requirements. The requirements include conducting a community health needs assessment and implementing a strategy to meet those needs; establishing a written financial assistance policy; establishing a policy to provide emergency care without discrimination; limiting charges for “emergency or other medically necessary care” for individuals eligible for financial assistance.

“If a hospital organization to which section 501(r) applies fails to meet the requirement of section 501(r)(3) for any taxable year, there is imposed on the organization a tax equal to $50,000.”

110 Reprinted with permission of ProCon.org, a 501(c)(3) nonprofit public charity, on 7/27/2017
2. Bill: Reconciliation Act

Sec. 1409. Codification of Economic Substance Doctrine and Penalties

Codifying Existing Common Law Doctrine and Tax Penalty for Non-Compliance:
If a taxpayer performs a transaction that the IRS deems to lack “economic substance” or “a business purpose” (i.e. merely to lower one’s tax burden), that transaction is now penalized at a tax rate of 40% if undisclosed (an increase from the existing rate of 20%). The “economic substance doctrine” was a well-established common law doctrine that Obamacare codified in American tax law.

“(5) DEFINITIONS AND SPECIAL RULES.—For purposes of this subsection—
(A) ECONOMIC SUBSTANCE DOCTRINE.—The term ‘economic substance doctrine’ means the common law doctrine under which tax benefits under subtitle A with respect to a transaction are not allowable if the transaction does not have economic substance or lacks a business purpose.”

“(1) APPLICATION OF DOCTRINE.—In the case of any transaction to which the economic substance doctrine is relevant, such transaction shall be treated as having economic substance only if—
(A) the transaction changes in a meaningful way (apart from Federal income tax effects) the taxpayer’s economic position, and
(B) the taxpayer has a substantial purpose (apart from Federal income tax effects) for entering into such transaction.”

(i) INCREASE IN PENALTY IN CASE OF NONDISCLOSED NONECONOMIC SUBSTANCE TRANSACTIONS.—
“In the case of any portion of an underpayment which is attributable to one or more nondisclosed noneconomic substance transactions, subsection (a) shall be applied with respect to such portion by substituting ‘40 percent’ for ‘20 percent’.”

3. Bill: Reconciliation Act

Sec. 1408. Elimination of Unintended Application of Cellulosic Biofuel Producer Credit

Elimination of Tax Credit:
Removes tax breaks for “black liquor,” a byproduct of papermaking used by pulp mills as an alternative energy source for plant operations.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 40(b)(6)(E) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new clause:
(iii) EXCLUSION OF UNPROCESSED FUELS.—The term ‘cellulosic biofuel’ shall not include any fuel if—
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(I) more than 4 percent of such fuel (determined by weight) is any combination of water and sediment, or
(II) the ash content of such fuel is more than 1 percent (determined by weight).”

4. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9008. Imposition of Annual Fee on Branded Prescription Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Importers
Bill: Reconciliation Act
Sec. 1404. Brand Name Pharmaceuticals

New Excise Tax;
New annual excise tax on sales of non-generic branded pharmaceutical drugs by drug manufacturers and importers. The tax burden varies depending on the amount of drugs sold.

“(a) IMPOSITION OF FEE.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Each covered entity engaged in the business of manufacturing or importing branded prescription drugs shall pay to the Secretary of the Treasury not later than the annual payment date of each calendar year beginning after 2010 a fee in an amount determined under subsection (b).
(2) ANNUAL PAYMENT DATE.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘annual payment date’ means with respect to any calendar year the date determined by the Secretary, but in no event later than September 30 of such calendar year.

(b) DETERMINATION OF FEE AMOUNT.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to each covered entity, the fee under this section for any calendar year shall be equal to an amount that bears the same ratio to the applicable amount —
(A) the covered entity’s branded prescription drug sales taken into account during the preceding calendar year, bear to
(B) the aggregate branded prescription drug sales of all covered entities taken into account during such preceding calendar year.
(2) SALES TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the branded prescription drug sales taken into account during any calendar year with respect to any covered entity shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>With respect to a covered entity’s aggregate branded prescription drug sales during the calendar year that are:</th>
<th>The percentage of such sales taken into account is:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not more than $5,000,000</td>
<td>0 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $5,000,000 but not more than $125,000,000</td>
<td>10 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $125,000,000 but not more than $225,000,000</td>
<td>40 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $225,000,000 but not more than $400,000,000</td>
<td>75 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $400,000,000</td>
<td>100 percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPLICABLE AMOUNT.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the applicable amount shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar year</th>
<th>Applicable amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$2,500,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$2,800,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$2,800,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$3,000,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$3,000,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$3,000,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$4,000,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$4,100,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 and thereafter</td>
<td>$2,800,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(f) TAX TREATMENT OF FEES.—The fees imposed by this section—
(1) for purposes of subtitle F of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, shall be treated as excise taxes with respect to which only civil actions for refund under procedures of such subtitle shall apply, and
(2) for purposes of section 275 of such Code, shall be considered to be a tax described in section 275(a)(6).”

5. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9016. Modification of Section 833 Treatment of Certain Health Organizations

Elimination of Tax Deduction for Non-Compliance:
Health insurance companies that do not spend at least 85% of revenues on clinical services will not qualify for existing tax deductions.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (c) of section 833 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:
(5) NONAPPLICATION OF SECTION IN CASE OF LOW MEDICAL LOSS RATIO.—Notwithstanding the preceding paragraphs, this section shall not apply to any organization unless such organization’s percentage of total premium revenue expended on reimbursement for clinical services provided to enrollees under its policies during such taxable year (as reported under section 2718 of the Public Health Service Act) is not less than 85 percent.”
6. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 10907. Excise Tax on Indoor Tanning Services in Lieu of Elective Cosmetic Medical Procedures

New Excise Tax:
New 10 percent excise tax on the use of indoor tanning salons.

“(b) EXCISE TAX ON INDOOR TANNING SERVICES.—
Subtitle D of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended by this Act, is amended by adding at the end the following new chapter:
CHAPTER 49—COSMETIC SERVICES
SEC. 5000B. IMPOSITION OF TAX ON INDOOR TANNING SERVICES.
(a) IN GENERAL.—There is hereby imposed on any indoor tanning service a tax equal to 10 percent of the amount paid for such service (determined without regard to this section), whether paid by insurance or otherwise.”

7. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9003. Distributions for Medicine Qualified Only if for Prescribed Drug or Insulin

Elimination of Reimbursements for Over-the-Counter Medicine:
Removes reimbursements for non-prescription, over-the-counter medicine (except insulin) from people with a health savings account (HSA), flexible spending account (FSA), or health reimbursement account (HRA).

“(f) REIMBURSEMENTS FOR MEDICINE RESTRICTED TO PRESCRIBED DRUGS AND INSULIN.—For purposes of this section and section 105, reimbursement for expenses incurred for a medicine or a drug shall be treated as a reimbursement for medical expenses only if such medicine or drug is a prescribed drug (determined without regard to whether such drug is available without a prescription) or is insulin.”

8. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9004. Increase in Additional Tax on Distributions from HSAs and Archer MSAs Not Used for Qualified Medical Expenses

Tax Penalty Increase for Early Withdrawal from Health/Medical Savings Accounts:
Increases tax penalties from 10 to 20 percent on non-medical early withdrawals from health/medical savings accounts.
“(a) HSAS.—Section 223(f)(4)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking ‘10 percent’ and inserting ‘20 percent’.
(b) ARCHER MSAS.—Section 220(f)(4)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking ‘15 percent’ and inserting ‘20 percent’.”

9. Bill: Reconciliation Act

Sec. 1402. Unearned Income Medicare Contribution
Sec. 1411. Imposition of Tax

New Tax:
A new 3.8% tax on investment income earned in households earning over $250,000 per year ($200,000 single). This income includes gross income in interest, annuities, royalties, net rents, and passive income in partnerships and S corporations. It does not include municipal bond interest or life insurance proceeds, active trade or business income, fair market value sales of ownership in pass-through entities, or distributions from retirement plans.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in subsection (e)—
(1) APPLICATION TO INDIVIDUALS.—In the case of an individual, there is hereby imposed (in addition to any other tax imposed by this subtitle) for each taxable year a tax equal to 3.8 percent of the lesser of—
(A) net investment income for such taxable year, or
(B) the excess (if any) of—
(i) the modified adjusted gross income for such taxable year, over
(ii) the threshold amount.
(2) APPLICATION TO ESTATES AND TRUSTS.—In the case of an estate or trust, there is hereby imposed (in addition to any other tax imposed by this subtitle) for each taxable year a tax of 3.8 percent of the lesser of—
(A) the undistributed net investment income for such taxable year, or
(B) the excess (if any) of—
(i) the adjusted gross income (as defined in section 67(e)) for such taxable year, over
(ii) the dollar amount at which the highest tax bracket in section 1(e) begins for such taxable year.

(b) THRESHOLD AMOUNT.—For purposes of this chapter, the term ‘threshold amount’ means—
(1) in the case of a taxpayer making a joint return under section 6013 or a surviving spouse (as defined in section 2(a)), $250,000,
(2) in the case of a married taxpayer (as defined in section 7703) filing a separate return, 1/2 of the dollar amount determined under paragraph (1), and
(3) in any other case, $200,000.”
10. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9015. Additional Hospital Insurance Tax on High-Income Taxpayers
Sec. 10906. Modifications to Additional Hospital Insurance Tax on High-Income Taxpayers

New Tax:
An additional tax for hospital insurance on individuals earning over $200,000 per year and households earning over $250,000 per year.

“(a) FICA.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 3101(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—
(A) by striking ‘‘In addition’’ and inserting the following:
(1) IN GENERAL.—In addition’’,
(B) by striking ‘‘the following percentages of the’’ and inserting ‘‘1.45 percent of the’’,
(C) by striking ‘‘(as defined in section 3121(b))—’’ and all that follows and inserting ‘‘(as defined in section 3121(b)).’’, and
(D) by adding at the end the following new paragraph:
(2) ADDITIONAL TAX.—In addition to the tax imposed by paragraph (1) and the preceding subsection, there is hereby imposed on every taxpayer (other than a corporation, estate, or trust) a tax equal to 0.9 percent of wages which are received with respect to employment (as defined in section 3121(b)) during any taxable year beginning after December 31, 2012, and which are in excess of—
(A) in the case of a joint return, $250,000, and
(B) in any other case, $200,000.’’.

(2) COLLECTION OF TAX.—Section 3102 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:
(f) SPECIAL RULES FOR ADDITIONAL TAX.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any tax imposed by section 3101(b)(2), subsection (a) shall only apply to the extent to which the taxpayer receives wages from the employer in excess of $200,000, and the employer may disregard the amount of wages received by such taxpayer’s spouse.
(2) COLLECTION OF AMOUNTS NOT WITHHELD.—To the extent that the amount of any tax imposed by section 3101(b)(2) is not collected by the employer, such tax shall be paid by the employee.
(3) TAX PAID BY RECIPIENT.—If an employer, in violation of this chapter, fails to deduct and withhold the tax imposed by section 3101(b)(2) and thereafter the tax is paid by the employee, the tax so required to be deducted and withheld shall not be collected from the employer, but this paragraph shall in no case relieve the employer from liability for any penalties or additions to tax otherwise applicable in respect of such failure to deduct and withhold.’’.

(b) SECA.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1401(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended—
(A) by striking “‘In addition’” and inserting the following: 
(1) IN GENERAL.—In addition”, and 
(B) by adding at the end the following new paragraph: 

(2) ADDITIONAL TAX.—
(A) IN GENERAL.—In addition to the tax imposed by paragraph (1) and the 
preceding subsection, there is hereby imposed on every taxpayer (other than a 
corporation, estate, or trust) for each taxable year beginning after December 31, 
2012, a tax equal to 0.9 percent of the self employment income for such taxable year 
which is in excess of— ‘‘(ii) in any other case, $200,000. 
(B) COORDINATION WITH FICA.—The amounts under clauses (i) and (ii) of 
subparagraph (A) shall be reduced (but not below zero) by the amount of wages taken 
to account in determining the tax imposed under section 3121(b)(2) with respect to 
the taxpayer.”.

(2) NO DEDUCTION FOR ADDITIONAL TAX.—
(A) IN GENERAL.—Section 164(f) of such Code is amended by inserting (other than 
the taxes imposed by section 1401(b)(2)) after section 1401). 
(B) DEDUCTION FOR NET EARNINGS FROM SELF-EMPLOYMENT.— 
Subparagraph (B) of section 1402(a)(12) is amended by inserting “‘(determined 
without regard to the rate imposed under paragraph (2) of section 1401(b))’” after 
‘‘for such year’’.

(c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this section shall apply with respect to 
remuneration received, and taxable years beginning, after December 31, 2012.”

11. Bill: Reconciliation Act

Sec. 1405. Excise Tax on Medical Device Manufacturers
Sec. 4191. Medical Devices

New Excise Tax: 
New tax on the sales of medical devices, excluding eyeglasses, contact lenses, hearing aids, and 
“any other medical device determined… to be of a type which is generally purchased by the 
general public at retail for individual use.”

“(a) IN GENERAL.—There is hereby imposed on the sale of any taxable medical device by the 
manufacturer, producer, or importer a tax equal to 2.3 percent of the price for which so sold. 
(b) TAXABLE MEDICAL DEVICE.—For purposes of this section—
(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘taxable medical device’ means any device (as defined in 
section 201(h) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act) intended for humans.
(2) EXEMPTIONS.—Such term shall not include—
(A) eyeglasses,
(B) contact lenses,
(C) hearing aids, and
(D) any other medical device determined by the Secretary to be of a type which is generally purchased by the general public at retail for individual use.”

12. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9013. Modification of Itemized Deduction for Medical Expenses

Increase in threshold for itemized deduction of medical expenses:
Medical expenses in excess of 10% of an individual’s adjusted gross income may be deducted from tax reporting, an increase from 7.5%. The section grants a temporary waiver of the increase from the years 2013-16 for persons over the age of 65.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (a) of section 213 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking ‘7.5 percent’ and inserting ‘10 percent.’
(b) TEMPORARY WAIVER OF INCREASE FOR CERTAIN SENIORS.—Section 213 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:
(f) SPECIAL RULE FOR 2013, 2014, 2015, AND 2016.—In the case of any taxable year beginning after December 31, 2012, and ending before January 1, 2017, subsection (a) shall be applied with respect to a taxpayer by substituting ‘7.5 percent’ for ‘10 percent’ if such taxpayer or such taxpayer’s spouse has attained age 65 before the close of such taxable year.
(c) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 56(b)(1)(B) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking ‘by substituting ‘10 percent’ for ‘7.5 percent’” and inserting ‘without regard to subsection (f) of such section.’”

13. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9005. Limitation on Health Flexible Spending Arrangements Under Cafeteria Plans

Sec. 10902. Inflation Adjustment of Limitation on Health Flexible Spending Arrangements Under Cafeteria Plans

Cap on tax deductions for medical expenses:
Contributions to health flexible spending arrangements that allow people to set aside money tax free to pay for medical expenses is capped at $2,500 (the threshold used to be unlimited). The limit will be indexed to inflation and increased by an annual cost-of-living adjustment.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Subsection (i) of section 125 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as added by section 9005 of this Act, is amended to read as follows:
(i) LIMITATION ON HEALTH FLEXIBLE SPENDING ARRANGEMENTS.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of this section, if a benefit is provided under a cafeteria plan through employer contributions to a health flexible spending arrangement, such benefit shall not be treated as a qualified benefit unless the cafeteria plan provides that
an employee may not elect for any taxable year to have salary reduction contributions in excess of $2,500 made to such arrangement.

(2) ADJUSTMENT FOR INFLATION.—In the case of any taxable year beginning after December 31, 2011, the dollar amount in paragraph (1) shall be increased by an amount equal to—

(A) such amount, multiplied by

(B) the cost-of-living adjustment determined under section 1(f)(3) for the calendar year in which such taxable year begins by substituting ‘calendar year 2010’ for ‘calendar year 1992’ in subparagraph (B) thereof.

If any increase determined under this paragraph is not a multiple of $50, such increase shall be rounded to the next lowest multiple of $50.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.”

14. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9012. Elimination of Deduction for Expenses Allocable to Medicare Part D Subsidy

Elimination of Tax Deduction:
Removes tax deductions for employer-provided retirement prescription drug insurance plans.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 139A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by striking the second sentence.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendment made by this section shall apply to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2010.”

15. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9014. Limitation on Excessive Remuneration Paid by Certain Health Insurance Providers

Elimination of Tax Deduction for Non-Compliance:
Removes tax deductions for health insurance executives that are compensated over $500,000 per year.

“(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 is amended by adding at the end the following new subparagraph:

(6) SPECIAL RULE FOR APPLICATION TO CERTAIN HEALTH INSURANCE PROVIDERS.—

(A) IN GENERAL.—No deduction shall be allowed under this chapter—

(i) in the case of applicable individual remuneration which is for any disqualified taxable year beginning after December 31, 2012, and which is
attributable to services performed by an applicable individual during such taxable year, to the extent that the amount of such remuneration exceeds $500,000, or

(ii) in the case of deferred deduction remuneration for any taxable year beginning after December 31, 2012, which is attributable to services performed by an applicable individual during any disqualified taxable year beginning after December 31, 2009, to the extent that the amount of such remuneration exceeds $500,000 reduced (but not below zero) by the sum of—

(I) the applicable individual remuneration for such disqualified taxable year, plus

(II) the portion of the deferred deduction remuneration for such services which was taken into account under this clause in a preceding taxable year (or which would have been taken into account under this clause in a preceding taxable year if this clause were applied by substituting ‘December 31, 2009’ for ‘December 31, 2012’ in the matter preceding subclause (I)).”

16. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 1501. Requirement to Maintain Minimum Essential Coverage

Penalty for Non-Compliance:
Taxes individuals as a proportion of their income if they choose not to purchase health insurance.

“(b)(1) Section 5000A(b)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as added by section 1501(b) of this Act, is amended to read as follows:

(1) IN GENERAL.—If a taxpayer who is an applicable individual, or an applicable individual for whom the taxpayer is liable under paragraph (3), fails to meet the requirement of subsection (a) for 1 or more months, then, except as provided in subsection (e), there is hereby imposed on the taxpayer a penalty with respect to such failures in the amount determined under subsection (c).

(2) Paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 5000A(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as so added, are amended to read as follows:

(1) IN GENERAL.—The amount of the penalty imposed by this section on any taxpayer for any taxable year with respect to failures described in subsection (b)(1) shall be equal to the lesser of—

(A) the sum of the monthly penalty amounts determined under paragraph (2) for months in the taxable year during which 1 or more such failures occurred, or

(B) an amount equal to the national average premium for qualified health plans which have a bronze level of coverage, provide coverage for the applicable family size involved, and are offered through Exchanges for
plan years beginning in the calendar year with or within which the taxable year ends.

(2) MONTHLY PENALTY AMOUNTS.—For purposes of paragraph (1)(A), the monthly penalty amount with respect to any taxpayer for any month during which any failure described in subsection (b)(1) occurred is an amount equal to 1/12 of the greater of the following amounts:

(A) FLAT DOLLAR AMOUNT.—An amount equal to the lesser of—
   (i) the sum of the applicable dollar amounts for all individuals with respect to whom such failure occurred during such month, or
   (ii) 300 percent of the applicable dollar amount (determined without regard to paragraph (3)(C)) for the calendar year with or within which the taxable year ends.

(B) PERCENTAGE OF INCOME.—An amount equal to the following percentage of the taxpayer’s household income for the taxable year:
   (i) 0.5 percent for taxable years beginning in 2014.
   (ii) 1.0 percent for taxable years beginning in 2015.
   (iii) 2.0 percent for taxable years beginning after 2015.”

17. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 1513. Shared Responsibility for Employers
Sec. 4980H. Shared Responsibility for Employers Regarding Health Coverage

Penalty for Non-Compliance:
If an employer does not offer health coverage, and at least one employee qualifies for a health tax credit, the employer must pay an additional non-deductible tax of $2,000 for all full-time employees (applies to all employers with 50 or more employees). If the employer requires a waiting period of 30-60 days to enroll in coverage, a $400 tax per employee applies ($600 if the period is 60 days or longer).

“(a) LARGE EMPLOYERS NOT OFFERING HEALTH COVERAGE.—
   If—
   (1) any applicable large employer fails to offer to its fulltime employees (and their dependents) the opportunity to enroll in minimum essential coverage under an eligible employer sponsored plan (as defined in section 5000A(f)(2)) for any month, and
   (2) at least one full-time employee of the applicable large employer has been certified to the employer under section 1411 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act as having enrolled for such month in a qualified health plan with respect to which an applicable premium tax credit or cost-sharing reduction is allowed or paid with respect to the employee, then there is hereby imposed on the employer an assessable payment equal to the product of the applicable payment amount and the number of individuals employed by the employer as full-time employees during such month.

(b) LARGE EMPLOYERS WITH WAITING PERIODS EXCEEDING 30 DAYS.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—In the case of any applicable large employer which requires an extended waiting period to enroll in any minimum essential coverage under an employer-sponsored plan (as defined in section 5000A(f)(2)), there is hereby imposed on the employer an assessable payment, in the amount specified in paragraph (2), for each full-time employee of the employer to whom the extended waiting period applies.

(2) AMOUNT.—For purposes of paragraph (1), the amount specified in this paragraph for a full-time employee is—

(A) in the case of an extended waiting period which exceeds 30 days but does not exceed 60 days, $400, and

(B) in the case of an extended waiting period which exceeds 60 days, $600.

(3) EXTENDED WAITING PERIOD.—The term ‘extended waiting period’ means any waiting period (as defined in section 2701(b)(4) of the Public Health Service Act) which exceeds 30 days.

(c) LARGE EMPLOYERS OFFERING COVERAGE WITH EMPLOYEES WHO QUALIFY FOR PREMIUM TAX CREDITS OR COST-SHARING REDUCTIONS.—

(1) IN GENERAL.—If—

(A) an applicable large employer offers to its fulltime employees (and their dependents) the opportunity to enroll in minimum essential coverage under an eligible employer-sponsored plan (as defined in section 5000A(f)(2)) for any month, and

(B) 1 or more full-time employees of the applicable large employer has been certified to the employer under section 1411 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act as having enrolled for such month in a qualified health plan with respect to which an applicable premium tax credit or cost-sharing reduction is allowed or paid with respect to the employee, then there is hereby imposed on the employer an assessable payment equal to the product of the number of full-time employees of the applicable large employer described in subparagraph (B) for such month and 400 percent of the applicable payment amount.

(2) OVERALL LIMITATION.—The aggregate amount of tax determined under paragraph (1) with respect to all employees of an applicable large employer for any month shall not exceed the product of the applicable payment amount and the number of individuals employed by the employer as full-time employees during such month.”

18. Bill: PPACA

Sec. 9010. Imposition of Annual Fee on Health Insurance Providers
Sec. 10905. Modification of Annual Fee on Health Insurance Providers
Bill: Reconciliation Act
Sec. 1406. Health Insurance Providers

New Fee:
Annual fee on health insurance companies relative to the amount collected in premiums during the calendar year. Includes an additional “third party administration agreement” fee.
“(a) IMPOSITION OF FEE.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—Each covered entity engaged in the business of providing health insurance shall pay to the Secretary not later than the annual payment date of each calendar year beginning after 2013 a fee in an amount determined under subsection (b).
(2) ANNUAL PAYMENT DATE.—For purposes of this section, the term ‘annual payment date’ means with respect to any calendar year the date determined by the Secretary, but in no event later than September 30 of such calendar year.

(b) DETERMINATION OF FEE AMOUNT.—
(1) IN GENERAL.—With respect to each covered entity, the fee under this section for any calendar year shall be equal to an amount that bears the same ratio to $6,700,000,000 as—

(A) the sum of—
(i) the covered entity’s net premiums written with respect to health insurance for any United States health risk that are taken into account during the preceding calendar year, plus
(ii) 200 percent of the covered entity’s third party administration agreement fees that are taken into account during the preceding calendar year, bears to

(B) the sum of—
(i) the aggregate net premiums written with respect to such health insurance of all covered entities that are taken into account during such preceding calendar year, plus
(ii) 200 percent of the aggregate third party administration agreement fees of all covered entities that are taken into account during such preceding calendar year.

(2) AMOUNTS TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.—For purposes of paragraph (1)—
(A) NET PREMIUMS WRITTEN.—The net premiums written with respect to health insurance for any United States health risk that are taken into account during any calendar year with respect to any covered entity shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Net Premiums Written</th>
<th>Percentage of Net Premiums Written Taken into Account</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not more than $25,000,000</td>
<td>0 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $25,000,000 but not more than $50,000,000</td>
<td>50 percent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than $50,000,000</td>
<td>100 percent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(B) THIRD PARTY ADMINISTRATION AGREEMENT FEES.—
The third party administration agreement fees that are taken into account during any calendar year with respect to any covered entity shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

With respect to a covered entity’s third party administration agreement fees during the calendar year that are: The percentage of third party administration agreement fees that are taken into account is:

- Not more than $5,000,000 ....................... 0 percent
- More than $5,000,000 but not more than $10,000,000 ..........................50 percent
- More than $10,000,000 ............................ 100 percent”


Sec. 9001. Excise Tax on High Cost Employer-Sponsored Health Coverage
Sec. 10901. Modifications to Excise Tax on High Cost Employer-Sponsored Health Coverage
Bill: Reconciliation Act
Sec. 1401. High-Cost Plan Excise Tax

New Excise Tax:
Beginning in 2018, “Cadillac” health insurance plans will be subject to a 40% excise tax above a certain threshold. The threshold will be higher for early retirees and high-risk professions.

“(a) IMPOSITION OF TAX.—If—
(1) an employee is covered under any applicable employer sponsored coverage of an employer at any time during a taxable period, and
(2) there is any excess benefit with respect to the coverage, there is hereby imposed a tax equal to 40 percent of the excess benefit.

(b) EXCESS BENEFIT.—For purposes of this section—
(1) IN GENERAL.—The term ‘excess benefit’ means, with respect to any applicable employer-sponsored coverage made available by an employer to an employee during any taxable period, the sum of the excess amounts determined under paragraph (2) for months during the taxable period.
(2) MONTHLY EXCESS AMOUNT.—The excess amount determined under this paragraph for any month is the excess (if any) of—
(A) the aggregate cost of the applicable employer sponsored coverage of the employee for the month, over
(B) an amount equal to 1/12 of the annual limitation under paragraph (3) for the calendar year in which the month occurs.